FMSB Meeting

Wednesday 16th September 2020

Approved Minutes

Present: Robert Creighton (Chair), Beryl Darling, Ian Hamer, John Hobson, Sarah-Jane Turnbull, Alexis Walker

Also in attendance: Jane Robey (FMC Representative), Helen Anthony (Executive Officer)

	Part 1 - Open session	Action
1.	Introductory	
1.1	Welcome and introductions apologies, declarations of	
	interests	
	The Chair welcomed everybody.	
	The FMSB noted that Katie Kelly had moved in to a different	
	role at the MoJ, and wished her well. Her colleagues	
	Christopher Palmer and Oliver Lendrum have responsibility	
	for family mediation work for the time being and may attend	
	future FMSB meetings. The FMSB noted that the MoJ had	
	expressed a particular interest in the work on MIAMs	
	Standards and the Chair of the Working Group extended an	
	invitation to CP or OL to joint its meetings.	
1.2	Approval of minutes of last meeting & matters arising not	
1.2	covered elsewhere	
	The Part 1 & Part 2 Minutes of the meeting held on 8.7.20	HA to publish approved Part 1
	were approved.	Minutes
	opposed.	
	Matters arising:	
	The FMSB agreed to publish the Assessors' Guidance if it	
	had not been able to exchange this with the Law Society by	HA to publish assessors' guidance
	the end of the month.	
2.	Reports Chairia Danart	
2.1	Chair's Report The FMSR noted that the Chair has been working on the	
	The FMSB noted that the Chair has been working on the	
	good standing test and the accreditation standards review, both of which are on the agenda.	
	both of which are off the agenda.	
2.2	Executive Officer's Report	
	The FMSB noted the Executive Officer's report.	
	The FMSB noted that nine mediators had not paid the	
	annual fee despite repeated reminders. They have been	HA to give final reminder and then
	warned that if they do not pay, they will be removed from the	remove mediators from register if
	register. The FMSB agreed to remove these mediators from	they have not paid the annual
	the register for non-payment of fees, subject to one final	registration fee
	reminder.	

1		T
	The FMSB noted that it could consider charging late fees	
	next year, as the administrative time spent in chasing late	
	payers was significant.	
2.3	FMC Report	
	The FMSB noted that the FMC had met on 9.9.20 and draft	HA to send draft FMC minutes to
	minutes will follow once available.	FMSB once agreed by FMC Chair
	The Chair reported that meeting was positive, with directors	
	reporting that mediators were busy, the number of people	
	looking for mediators through the FMC website had	
	increased on last year, and the MoJ and HMCTS showing	
	interest in promoting mediation.	
	The FMSB noted that the FMC was hoping that online	
	registration would increase the capacity of office staff to	
	support the FMC's work, including that of the FMSB.	
3.	Items to Discuss	
3.1	Accreditation Panel	
	The FMSB noted the Accreditation Panel's report and	
	endorsed its decision that if a mediator does not achieve full	
	accreditation on their third submission (i.e. their second	
	resubmission) their case should be passed to the	
	Accreditation Panel who should have the ability to move the	
	mediator from provisional status to WTA (NB the mediator	
	would still only have to resubmit the information required by	
	the assessor, not a new portfolio).	
3.2	Training Panel	
0.2	The FMSB considered the position regarding online training.	
	The FMC Representative declared an interest in this item,	
	as she works for an approved training provider.	
	as sile works for all approved training provider.	
	The FMSB recognised the importance of urgently reviewing	
	the remote provision of family mediation training. The	
	Training Panel has planned to consult providers about the	
	long-term position.	
	3 Feering	
	The FMSB delegated to its training panel the ability to make	
	decisions, on an interim basis, about the remote delivery of	
	training courses that are already approved, whilst the long-	
	term position is being considered. Any permission to allow	
	courses to be delivered online during this period will be	
	temporary and superseded once the FMSB's longer term	
	review is place.	
		HA to draft guidance for SJT & AW
	The Training Panel will draft guidance for considering	to agree before circulating to
	applications for courses to be delivered online, which it can	remaining FMSB members for
	use to guide its decisions and to inform training providers	approval and then distribution to
	use to duide its decisions and to initini halling broyidels	approvar and then distribution to
	· ·	training providers
	about its decision making process.	training providers.

	The FMSB agreed to make a recommended change to the Standards Framework to allow the FMSB to check that accredited mediators continue to keep up to date with safeguarding issues once accredited.	HA to take FMSB recommendation to FMC
	The FMSB agreed that it would ask FMC Membership Organisations and approved training providers (where relevant) to sign a 'Declaration of Compliance' form, which explicitly states the Standards Framework requirements and confirms their understanding and compliance with these.	HA to ask MOs/training providers for confirmation that courses meet minimum standards
3.3	Fit & Proper Person Test	
	The FMSB agreed to call this the 'Good Standing' test which it deemed more appropriate.	
	The FMSB reaffirmed the need for this test and discussed issues raised by the FMC about the draft policy, agreeing that: - the test should be evidence based; - it will look to recognise the status of those who have had their good standing confirmed by other professional bodies; - the process needed to allow for offences that are spent under the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act not to be declared; - whistleblowers should be encouraged. The FMSB also agreed to consider:	
	 whether it should be able to grant conditional registration status; whether there is any benefit to obtaining references; wording which appropriately reflects the intention of the policy to look to past behaviour as an indicator or likely future actions; whether a fee should be charged; whether the FMSB should ask for ongoing complaints or proceedings being brought against the applicant to be declared; the role PPCs should play in the process. 	
	It was agreed that the policy and implementation documents should be updated accordingly.	RC, IH & HA to amend draft policy and implementation documents
3.4	Standards Review: Complaints	
	The FMSB agreed the draft common complaints process was clear and streamlined.	
	The FMSB agreed that it should proceed with its work on the common complaints process independently of engaging with the SRA, BSB and Legal Ombudsman.	

5.	AOB	
	2020 dates: November tbc	HA to circulate Doodle Poll for alternative November date
4.	Future meeting dates to note	HA to circulate Deadle Dell for
	online registration and supported the implementation of this.	
3.9	Online Sign Up for Registration The FMSB noted the progress in identifying a system for	
3.9	Online Sign I In for Posistration	
	group.	
	Working Group specification and noted that the FMC MOs had been asked to nominate members for the working	
	The FMSB approved the Online Mediation Standards Working Group specification and noted that the FMC MOS	HA to follow up with FMC MOs
3.8	Online Working	
	· ·	
	discussion how to approach this work.	
	PPCs needed careful consideration. The lead members of the PPC Panel and Training Panels will have an initial	BD & AW to discuss PPC Training
	The FMSB agreed that the training and development of	DD 9 AW/45 discuss DDC Traini
	expected to do and are properly remunerated for this.	
	they will have capacity to carry out the work that they are	
	The FMSB identified the longer term need to carry out a longer-term strategic review of the role of the PPC, ensuring	
	The EMSR identified the longer term need to corrugate a	
	out in autumn 2020.	
	The FMSB noted the work that the PPC Panel would carry	
3.7	PPC Panel	
	processes are reviewed.	
	noted that it would need to engage with the profession as processes are reviewed.	
	standards were being maintained and assured. The FMSB	
	accreditation (including re-accreditation) to ensure	
	requirements for mediator monitoring and review post-	
	The FMSB agreed that JH would start to consider	
	miliai accidatation.	
	Review Accreditation Working Group, which is focusing on initial accreditation.	
	The FMSB noted the report on the work of the Standards	
3.6	Standards Review: Accreditation	
	driving up standards, and that it is making good progress.	
	is working well together, with all members committed to	
0.0	The FMSB noted that the MIAMs Standards Working Group	
3.5	Standards Review: MIAMs	
	draft to FMSB members for approval.	
	the professional conduct of mediators. HA will circulate a	
	find out the number of complaints that are received about	to be agreed by FMSB members
	It was agreed that the FMSB would survey FMC MOs, to	HA to draft complaints questionnaire